

Uniform refinements, topological derivative and a differentiation theorem in metric spaces

Marius Buliga

Institute of Mathematics, Romanian Academy
P.O. BOX 1-764, RO 70700
București, Romania
Marius.Buliga@imar.ro

This version: 23.11.2009

For the importance of differentiation theorems in metric spaces (starting with Pansu [7] Rademacher type theorem in Carnot groups) and relations with rigidity of embeddings see the section 1.2 in Cheeger and Kleiner paper [6] and its bibliographic references.

Here we propose another type of differentiation theorem, which does not involve measures. It is therefore different from Rademacher type theorems. Instead, this differentiation theorem (and the concept of uniformly topological derivable function) is formulated in terms of filters of the diagonal $\Delta(X)$ in the product space X^2 of a topological space X .

The main result of this paper is theorem 2.16, stated in short form as:

Theorem 0.1 *Let (X, d) and (X', d') be Lip_2 metric spaces and $f : X \rightarrow X'$ a surjective bi-Lipschitz function. Then f is uniformly topologically derivable.*

The constructions presented here are a continuation of the work [1]. For another direction of development of these ideas see the series of papers on dilatation structures [2] [3], [4] [5], which study metric spaces with dilations, deformations of idempotent right quasigroups or normed groupoids.

1 Training section in 9 steps

The purpose of this section is to explain the constructions and ideas of this article in a familiar setting. In fact we shall use the most trivial scene to tell our story.

1. We are in $\mathbb{R}^n$, with $n \geq 2$. We shall use the Euclidean distance d given by the norm

$$\|x\| = (x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} .$$

The distance between $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is then $d(x, y) = \|y - x\|$.

The space $(\mathbb{R}^n, d)$ is a complete, locally compact, length metric space. Consider the dilatation based at x and with coefficient $\varepsilon > 0$. This dilatation is the function:

$$\delta_\varepsilon^x(y) = x + \varepsilon(y - x)$$

Notice that the geodesic joining x and y is just

$$c(s) = \delta_s^x(y), \quad \forall s \in [0, 1]$$

This is clearly a coincidence, specific to the example at hand.

2. The topology on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is the collection τ of open sets in $\mathbb{R}^n$, with respect to the distance d . The empty set belongs to τ . A non empty set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open if for any $x \in D$ there is a $r > 0$ such that $B(x, r) \subset D$, where the set

$$B(x, r) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid d(x, y) < r\}$$

is the (open) ball centered at x and with radius r .

Otherwise said, the topology τ is generated by the open balls. In our particular case the space is separated, meaning that it is enough to use a countable class of balls to generate all the topology.

The space is separated in another, more rudimentary sense, explained further.

3. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ let us define the filter of open neighbourhoods of x as

$$\mathcal{V}(x) = \{D \in \tau \mid x \in D\}$$

In general, definition 2.1, a topological filter in the topology τ is a collection $\mathcal{V} \subset \tau$ with the properties:

- (a) if $A \in \mathcal{V}$ and $B \in \tau$ such that $A \subset B$ then $B \in \mathcal{V}$,
- (b) if $A \in \mathcal{V}$ and $B \in \mathcal{V}$ then $A \cap B \in \mathcal{V}$.

Obviously for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the collection $\mathcal{V}(x)$ is a topological filter. But there are many other filters in the topology τ .

The space $\mathbb{R}^n$ is separated in a topological sense (T_0 separated). This means that

$$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad \mathcal{V}(x) = \mathcal{V}(y) \quad \text{implies } x = y$$

4. A more convenient way to see a topological filter $\mathcal{V}$ is to look at the associated function

$$\mu : \tau \rightarrow \{0, 1\} \quad , \quad \mu(D) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } D \in \mathcal{V} \\ 0 & \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The axioms (a) and (b) from the point **3.**, which define the notion of topological filter, can be restated as (see proposition 2.3):

(a) if $A, B \in \tau$ such that $A \subset B$ then $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$,

(b) for any $A, B \in \tau$ we have:

$$\mu(A \cup B) + \mu(A \cap B) \geq \mu(A) + \mu(B)$$

We add to these points the requirement

(c) $\mu(\mathbb{R}^n) = 1$,

because we want to exclude the trivial case $\mu(D) = 0$ for any open set D .

From now on we shall use the name "filter" both for $\mathcal{V}$ and its associated function μ . Sometimes we shall say that

$$\mathcal{V} = \{D \in \tau \mid \mu(D) = 1\}$$

is the support of μ and we shall write this as:

$$\mathcal{V} = \text{supp } \mu .$$

To the filter $\mathcal{V}(x)$ we associate the function

$$\circ(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } x \in D \\ 0 & \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Otherwise said the function $\circ(x)$ is the Dirac measure based at x . This is traditionally denoted by δ_x , but we prefer to keep the δ symbol for dilatations, which will be very important in the sequel. Moreover, the notation $\circ(x)$ suggest the geometrical operation to replace x by an infinitesimal "hole" in the envioning space , which is exactly what we shall do three steps further.

5. Denote by $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$ the set of all filters. Endow this set with the topology of uniform convergence. Indeed, it is sufficient to take the topology induced by the inclusion

$$\mathcal{A}(\tau) \subset [0, 1]^\tau .$$

A non empty open set in $V \subset \mathcal{A}(\tau)$ is characterized by the property:

- for any $\mu \in V$ there exists $D \in \tau$ such that for any $\nu \in \mathcal{A}$, if $\nu(D) = 1$ then $\nu \in V$.

We leave to the reader to check that the function

$$\circ : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\tau)$$

which associate to any x its filter of neighbourhoods, is a continuous and open injection. Therefore we can see the space $\mathbb{R}^n$ as a subset of $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$.

We could use larger classes of "filters", like the class $\mathcal{B}(\tau)$ of functions $\mu : \tau \rightarrow [0, 1]$ which satisfy axioms (a), (b), (c) from the point 4. The generalization consists in the co-domain of μ which is taken to be $[0, 1]$ instead of $\{0, 1\}$. The advantage of this

class is that is stable with respect to convex combinations. In fact $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$ is the set of extremal points of the family $\mathcal{B}(\tau)$. The convergence in $\mathcal{B}(\tau)$ is defined as for $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$, that is induced from the uniform convergence in $[0, 1]^\tau$.

6 Let us consider now a continuous function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$. Denote by τ^n, τ^m the topologies on $\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m$ respectively.

The function f is continuous if and only if for any $D \in \tau^m$ we have $f^{-1}(D) \in \tau^n$. This allows us to define the following extension of the function f to the function

$$f* : \mathcal{A}(\tau^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\tau^m)$$

which sends each $\mu \in \mathcal{A}(\tau^n)$ to $f* \mu \in \mathcal{A}(\tau^m)$, given by:

$$f* \mu(D) = \mu(f^{-1}(D))$$

Under the identification of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with a subset of $\mathcal{A}(\tau^n)$, the function $f*$ is an extension of f . Indeed, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for any non empty open set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ we have

$$f* \circ(x)(D) = \circ(x)(f^{-1}(D)) = \begin{cases} 1 & , \text{ if } f(x) \in D \\ 0 & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases} = \circ(f(x))$$

The extension $f*$ is continuous (see proposition 2.6).

7. We introduce now the notion of refinement (see also the notion of "boundary map", definition 2.1, page 459, [1]) of the space $(\mathbb{R}^n, \tau^n)$. In this paper the general definition is definition 2.7.

A function

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \partial x \subset \mathcal{A}(\tau^n)$$

is a refinement if for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the set ∂x is non empty and for any $\mu \in \partial x$ we have $\mu \geq \circ(x)$.

A topological definition of derivative now follows. The continuous function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is topologically derivable if it commutes with the refinement, that is for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mu \in \partial x$ we have $f* \mu \in \partial f(x)$.

This notion is more interesting for homeomorphisms and for space dimensions greater than one (we shall see further that "dimension" word may refer to metric dimension, not to topological dimension).

We shall give a refinement which justifies the name "derivative". For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, any $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|u\| = 1$, and any $\varepsilon > 0, \lambda \in (0, 1)$ we define the open set:

$$V^+(x, u, \varepsilon, \lambda) = \{y \neq x \mid \exists \sigma \in [0, \varepsilon], d(y, x + \sigma u) < \lambda d(x, y)\}$$

Define the filter $\mu_{x,u} : \tau \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ by: $\mu_{x,u}(D) = 1$ if there are $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ such that $V^+(x, u, \varepsilon, \sigma) \subset D$, and $\mu_{x,u}(D) = 0$ otherwise.

The sets $V^+(x, u, \varepsilon, \sigma)$ are given by:

$$V^+(x, u, \varepsilon, \sigma) = \{y \neq x \mid \|y - x - [0, \varepsilon]u\| < \sigma \|y - x\|\}$$

and they are defined for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any $\sigma \in (0, 1)$.

By the notation $[0, \varepsilon]u$ we mean the segment

$$[0, \varepsilon]u = \{\lambda u \mid \lambda \in [0, \varepsilon]\}$$

The notation $\|y - x - [0, \varepsilon]u\|$ means the distance between the point $y - x$ and the segment $[0, \varepsilon]u$.

The set $V^+(x, u, \varepsilon, \sigma)$ is therefore the set of all $y \neq x$ such that there is a $\lambda \in [0, \varepsilon]$ such that

$$\|y - (x + \lambda u)\| < \sigma \|y - x\|$$

This set has the shape of a cone with opening of angle α such that $\sin \alpha = \sigma$, ended by a spherical cap with center approximatively $x + \varepsilon u$ and radius approximatively $\varepsilon/(1 - \sigma)$ (we leave this for the reader to check).

The filter $\mu_{x,u}$ is a way to see the direction u starting from x . Indeed, filters induce a notion of convergence (of sequences in our case, because we have topology generated by a countable basis in our example). We describe this convergence in the next definition.

Definition 1.1 *Let μ be a filter in the topological space (X, τ) , with countable basis of neighbourhoods. We say that a sequence $(x_h)_{h \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to μ if for any D such that $\mu(D) = 1$ there is $n(D) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $h \geq n(D)$ $x_h \in D$. This is equivalent to say that the sequence $(\circ(X_h))_{h \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to μ in $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$.*

We have the easy result:

Proposition 1.2 *Consider the sequence $(x_h)_{h \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that for any $h \in \mathbb{N}$ $x_h \neq x$. This sequence converges to $\mu_{x,u}$ if and only if $(x_h)_{h \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to x and*

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_h - x}{\|x_h - x\|} = u$$

The refinement we have in mind is then:

$$\partial x = \{\mu_{x,u} \mid \|u\| = 1\}$$

We have the theorem:

Theorem 1.3 *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a differentiable function such that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the derivative $Df(x)$ is invertible. Then f is derivable in the topological sense.*

The proof is left to the reader.

8. We can see the refinement from the point of view of uniformities. Let us consider the uniformity associated to the euclidean distance in $\mathbb{R}^n$. This is the filter u_n in the topological space $(\mathbb{R}^n, \tau^n)^2$, given by:

$$\text{if } \exists s > 0 \ B(s) \subset U \text{ then } u_n(U) = 1,$$

where $B(s) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \mid \|x - y\| < s\}$.

For any $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\|u\| = 1$, let us define the filter μ_u generated by the sets:

$$V^+(u, \varepsilon, \mu) = \{(x, y) \mid d(y, x + [0, \varepsilon]u) < \mu d(x, y)\}$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\mu \in (0, 1)$.

It can be easily checked that:

- (a) for any $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\|u\| = 1$ we have $\mu_u \geq u_n$.
- (b) for any $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\|u\| = 1$, for any U such that $\mu_u(U) = 1$ exists D such that $D \circ D \subset U$, where in general

$$U \circ V = \{(x, z) \mid \exists y \ (x, y) \in U, (y, z) \in V\} .$$

- (c) for any $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\|u\| = 1$ we have

$$\sigma * \mu_u = \mu_{-u}$$

where $\sigma(x, y) = (y, x)$.

This is the definition of an uniform refinement (compare with definition 2.10). To any function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ we associate the function:

$$f^2 : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2m}, \quad f(x, y) = (f(x, x), f(x, y))$$

If the function f^2 transports one uniform refinement to another then we say that it is uniformly topologically derivable.

An important class of uniform functions are the Lipschitz functions. We shall see that we can construct uniform refinements such that any bi-Lipschitz is uniformly derivable.

9. In the frame of uniform refinements we have a natural operation. Let μ, ν filters in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ such that

$$\circ(\Delta(\mathbb{R}^n)) \leq \mu, \circ(\Delta(\mathbb{R}^n)) \leq \nu .$$

Here we used the notation:

$$\Delta(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{(x, x) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n\} .$$

The composition $\mu \circ \nu$ is defined by:

$$\text{if } \exists A, B \ \mu(A) = 1, \ \nu(B) = 1, \ A \circ B \subset U, \text{ then } \mu \circ \nu(U) = 1 .$$

The operation is a priori non commutative. By chance we have: for any $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\|u\| = \|v\| = 1$

$$\mu_u \circ \mu_v = \mu_v \circ \mu_u .$$

This comes from the fact that the operation in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is commutative and from the fact that all filters μ_u are invariant with respect to translations.

It is natural to expect that for a general uniform refinement the composition operation is not commutative. This leads to "rigidity" results: suppose that we have two spaces X and Y , each with an uniform refinement, but such that the refinement on X is commutative but the refinement on Y is not. Then there is no uniform function from X to Y which transports μ, ν in the first refinement to non-commuting μ', ν' . Indeed, the reason is that the transport is a morphism with respect to filter composition.

We shall see that some difficult rigidity theorems are consequences of this simple fact.

2 Topological derivative and uniform refinements

2.1 Topological derivative

The topological derivative has been introduced in [1]. We see it like a natural construction in the frame of topological spaces and continuous maps.

Let (X, τ) be a topological space. τ is the collection of open sets in X .

Definition 2.1 *A filter in (X, τ) is a function $\mu : \tau \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ such that:*

- (a) $\mu(X) = 1$,
- (b) for any $A, B \in \tau$, if $A \subset B$ then $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$,
- (c) for any $A, B \in \tau$ we have $\mu(A \cup B) + \mu(A \cap B) \geq \mu(A) + \mu(B)$.

We shall denote the set of filters by $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$.

There are other two interesting classes of filters in a weaker sense.

Definition 2.2 *A function $\mu : \tau \rightarrow [0, 1]$ which satisfies (a), (b), (c) of previous definition is called a filter of type B. The set of all these filters is denoted by $\mathcal{B}(\tau)$.*

Let $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R})$ be the class of Borel probabilities on $\mathbb{R}$. A filter of class C is a map $\mu : \tau \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{R})$ such that for any measurable set $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\mu(X)(I) \neq 0$$

and the map

$$\tilde{\mu}(\cdot)(I) = \frac{1}{\mu(X)(I)} \mu(\cdot)(I)$$

is a class B filter. We denote this class of filters by $\mathcal{C}(\tau)$.

Let $\mu \in \mathcal{A}(\tau)$ or $\mu \in \mathcal{B}(\tau)$ be a filter or a B class filter. The support of μ is

$$\text{supp } \mu = \{D \in \tau \mid \mu(D) = 1\}$$

Proposition 2.3 $\mathcal{V} = \text{supp } \mu$ is a topological filter in the usual sense, that is $\mathcal{V} \subset \tau$ satisfies:

- (a') $X \in \mathcal{V}$,
- (b') if $A \in \mathcal{V}$ and $B \in \tau$ such that $A \subset B$, then $B \in \mathcal{V}$,
- (c') if $A, B \in \mathcal{V}$ then $A \cap B \in \mathcal{V}$.

Proof. Indeed, properties (a'), (b') and (c') correspond respectively to (a), (b), (c) from definition 2.1. It is obvious why (a') comes from (a) and (b') comes from (b).

We prove only (c'). Take $A, B \in \mathcal{V}$. Then $\mu(A) = \mu(B) = 1$. Because $A \subset A \cup B$ we deduce that $\mu(A \cup B) \geq \mu(A) = 1$, so $\mu(A \cup B) = 1$. From (c), definition 2.1, we have that:

$$\mu(A \cup B) + \mu(A \cap B) \geq \mu(A) + \mu(B)$$

From previous considerations, this relations becomes:

$$1 + \mu(A \cap B) \geq 1 + 1 = 2$$

therefore $\mu(A \cap B) = 1$. This shows that $A \cap B \in \mathcal{V}$. ■

Let us denote by $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$ the set of all filters over (X, τ) . Suppose that (X, τ) is a T_0 topological space, i.e. it has the following separation property: for any $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$, there is $V \in \tau$ such that $x \in V$ and $y \notin V$. Then we have a canonical injection of X in $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$, given by:

$$x \in X \mapsto \circ(x) \in \mathcal{A}(\tau), \quad \circ(x)(A) = \begin{cases} 1 & , x \in A \\ 0 & , x \notin A \end{cases}$$

Further we shall always suppose that (X, τ) is T_0 .

Definition 2.4 On $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$ we put the following topology, called τ^e : a non empty set $V \subset \mathcal{A}(\tau)$ is open if for any $\mu \in V$ there is $D \in \tau$ such that $\mu(D) = 1$ and for any $\mu' \in \mathcal{A}(\tau)$ $\mu'(D) = 1$ implies $\mu' \in V$.

In the case of filters of class B , let $\mathcal{B}(\tau)$ be the collection of all such filters over τ . The topology that we consider is τ^B : a non empty set $V \in \tau^B$ has the property that for any $\mu \in V$ there are $D \in \tau$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ such that $\mu(D) = 1$ and for any $\mu' \in \mathcal{B}(\tau)$ $\mu'(D) > 1 - \varepsilon$ implies $\mu' \in V$.

The class $\mathcal{B}(\tau)$ is convex (convex combination of filters is a filter), has $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$ as the set of extremal points.

The topology τ^B is the topology of uniform convergence in the class of functions defined on τ with values in $\mathbb{R}$. Moreover τ^e is the induced topology on $\mathcal{A}(\tau) \subset \mathcal{B}(\tau)$ by τ^B .

Definition 2.5 Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X', \tau')$ be a continuous function. Then

$$f* : \mathcal{A}(\tau) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\tau')$$

is defined by: for all $\mu \in \mathcal{A}(\tau)$ and for all $A \in \tau'$

$$f* \mu(A) = \mu(f^{-1}(A))$$

Such a function can be equally defined for class B filters.

Proposition 2.6 Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X', \tau')$ be a continuous function. Then $f* : \mathcal{A}(\tau) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\tau')$ is continuous.

Proof. Let V' be open in $\mathcal{A}(\tau')$, non empty. For any $\mu \in \mathcal{A}(\tau)$, if $f * \mu \in V'$ then there is $D' \in \tau'$ such that $f * \mu(D') = 1$ and for any $\lambda' \in \mathcal{A}(\tau')$ $\lambda'(D') = 1$ implies $\lambda' \in V'$. In particular for any $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}(\tau)$, if $f * \lambda(D') = 1$ then $f * \lambda \in V'$.

Let $D = f^{-1}(D') \in \tau$. By previous reasoning we have that $\mu(D) = 1$ and for any $\lambda \in \mathcal{A}(\tau)$, if $\lambda(D) = 1$ then $f * \lambda \in V'$.

This shows that $(f*)^{-1}(V')$ is open in $\mathcal{A}(\tau)$. ■

We shall use this proposition in order to construct a derivative in the topological category. The notion that we need is refinement.

Definition 2.7 *A refinement of the T_0 topological space (X, τ) is a map*

$$x \in X \mapsto \partial(x) \subset \mathcal{A}(\tau)$$

which has the following properties:

- (a) *for any $\mu \in \partial(x)$ and any $D \in \tau$ we have $\mu(D) \geq \circ(x)(D)$,*
- (b) *for any $x \in X$ and $\mu \in \partial(x)$ there is a $D \in \tau$ such that $\mu(D) \neq \circ(x)(D)$.*

The space ∂X is then equal with the reunion of all $\partial(x)$, $x \in X$.

An interesting problem: what are the implications of the supplementary assumption that the refinement is a bundle? By this we mean: the map $\pi : \partial X \rightarrow X$ which sends $\mu \in \partial(x)$ to x , is a bundle. The weak assumption that we can locally trivialize the bundle can turn to be very powerful. We shall touch this subject in a future paper. This is related to the local contractibility condition.

One of the main definitions of this paper is this.

Definition 2.8 *Let (X, ∂) , (Y, ∂') be two topological spaces with refinements ∂ and ∂' respectively. A continuous function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is $\partial - \partial'$ (topologically) derivable if*

$$f * \partial = \partial' \circ f$$

This means that for any $x \in X$ and $\mu \in \partial(x)$ we have

$$f * \mu \in \partial'(f(x)) .$$

2.2 Uniform refinements

Let (X, τ) be a T_0 topological space. We denote by $\Delta(X)$ the diagonal of X^2 , and by $\circ(\Delta(X))$ the filter of neighbourhoods of $\Delta(X)$. For sets $A, B \subset X^2$, let $A \circ B \subset X^2$ be the set

$$A \circ B = \{(x, z) \in X^2 \mid \exists y \in X, (x, y) \in A \text{ and } (y, z) \in B\}$$

This composition operation defines a composition for filters in (X^2, τ^2) . Let μ, λ such filters, and $D \in \tau^2$, then

$$\mu \circ \lambda(D) = 1 \text{ iff } \exists E, F \in \tau^2, \mu(E) = 1, \lambda(F) = 1, E \circ F \subset D$$

Let $\sigma : X^2 \rightarrow X^2$ be the map $\sigma(x, y) = (y, x)$.

Definition 2.9 *An uniformity on (X, τ) is a filter Ω on (X^2, τ^2) such that:*

- (a) $\Omega \geq \circ(\Delta(X))$,
- (b) *for any D such that $\mu(D) = 1$ there is E , $\mu(E) = 1$ and $E \circ E \subset D$.*
- (c) $\sigma * \Omega = \Omega$.

Remark that condition (b) implies (but is not equivalent to):

$$\Omega \circ \Omega \geq \Omega .$$

An uniform refinement is a replacement of the uniformity Ω with a set of finer filters $\partial\Omega$, which satisfy a number of requirements. Because such requirements will prove to be not easy to satisfy in general, we shall introduce first the notion of uniform pre-refinement.

Definition 2.10 *A pre-refinement of the uniform space (X, τ, Ω) is a set $\partial\Omega$ of filters in τ^2 such that for any $\mu \in \partial\Omega$ we have $\mu \geq \Omega$. An uniform pre-refinement $\partial\Omega$ is an uniform refinement if moreover:*

- (a) *for any $\mu \in \partial\Omega$ and for any D such that $\mu(D) = 1$ there is E , $\mu(E) = 1$ and $E \circ E \subset D$.*
- (b) *for any $\mu \in \partial\Omega$ we have $\sigma * \mu \in \partial\Omega$.*

The derivability notion associated with uniform pre-refinements is described further.

Definition 2.11 *Let $(X, \partial\Omega)$, $(Y, \partial\Omega')$ be two uniform spaces with refinements $\partial\Omega$ and $\partial\Omega'$ respectively. An uniformly continuous function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is $\partial - \partial'$ (topologically) derivable if*

$$f * \partial\Omega = \partial\Omega'$$

where $f*$ is the transport by the function $f^2 : X^2 \rightarrow Y^2$, $f^2(x, y) = (f(x), f(y))$.

This means that for any $\mu \in \partial\Omega$ we have $f^2 * \mu \in \partial\Omega'$.

Let now $\mu \in \partial\Omega$. For any D such that $\mu(D) = 1$ and for any $x \in X$ let

$$D_x = \{y \neq x \mid (x, y) \in D\}$$

and μ_x the filter on X with the property that for any D such that $\mu(D) = 1$ we have $\mu_x(D_x) = 1$. Define now

$$\partial(x) = \{\mu_x \mid \mu \in \partial\Omega\}$$

Proposition 2.12 *For any given uniform pre-refinement $\partial\Omega$, the map $x \in X \mapsto \partial(x)$ is a refinement.*

The easy proof is left to the reader.

Remark the formal resemblance between the requirements on the filter Ω to be an uniformity and supplementary conditions on the uniform pre-refinement $\partial\Omega$ to be an uniform refinement. The supplementary conditions almost say that any $\mu \in \partial\Omega$ is a new uniformity, finer than the original uniformity Ω .

2.3 The snowflake functor and refinements

This example shows that for the refinements the metric dimension is more important than the topological dimension.

A well known trick to produce a bi-Lipschitz map from a Lipschitz one is to associate to the Lipschitz function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ the bi-Lipschitz function $g : X \rightarrow X \times Y$, given by:

$$\forall x \in X, \quad g(x) = (x, f(x))$$

Here we take on the space $X \times Y$ the distance

$$d((x, y), (x', y')) = d_X(x, x') + d_Y(y, y')$$

We shall take the space $X = \mathbb{R}$ with the distance

$$d(x, y) = |x - y|^{\frac{1}{m}}$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 2$. The Hausdorff dimension of the space is therefore $m \geq 2$. The topological dimension is one.

We take now the space $Y = \mathbb{R}$ with the usual distance and $X \times Y = \mathbb{R}^2$ with the distance:

$$d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = |x_1 - x_2| + |y_1 - y_2|^{\frac{1}{m}}.$$

Let $p = p(x)$ be a polynomial of degree at most m , such that $p(0) = 0$. Define now the filter $\mu_{x,p}$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$ to be generated by the open sets:

$$V^+(x, p, \varepsilon, \lambda) = \{y \neq x \mid d(y, x + p([0, \varepsilon])) < \lambda d(x, y)\}$$

We have the easy proposition:

Proposition 2.13 *Let $p_i = p_i(x)$, $i = 1, 2$ be two polynomials of degree at most m , such that $p_i(0) = 0$. We have $\mu_{x,p_1} = \mu_{x,p_2}$ if and only if $p_1 = p_2$.*

Theorem 2.14 *Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a m times differentiable function such that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ the development of order m of f around x is nontrivial. Then f is derivable in the topological sense, with respect to the refinement*

$$\partial^m x = \{\mu_{x,p} \mid p \in \mathbb{R}[X], 1 \leq \deg p \leq m, p(0) = 0\}.$$

2.4 Refinements in general metric spaces

We are in a locally compact, length metric space (X, d) . We shall construct a refinement of the space and a uniform refinement of the same space. We begin with the refinement.

We shall say further that the locally compact length space (X, d) is of first type if for any $x \in X$ there is a bi-Lipschitz function c such that $c(0) = x$. A shorter name is Lip_1 .

Let $a < 0 < b$, a bi-Lipschitz curve $c : [a, b] \rightarrow X$ and $x = c(0)$. To these data we associate a filter. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any $\mu \in (0, 1)$ let us define the open set:

$$V^+(x, c, \varepsilon, \mu) = \{y \in X \mid d(y, c([0, \varepsilon])) < \mu d(x, y)\}.$$

This family of open sets generates the filter $\partial^+ c(x)$. We can define also the filter $\partial^- c(x)$, generated by the family of sets:

$$V^-(x, c, \varepsilon, \mu) = \{y \in X \mid d(y, c([- \varepsilon, 0])) < \mu d(x, y)\} .$$

Remark that if

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup \left\{ \frac{d(c(-s), x) + d(c(t), x)}{d(c(-s), c(t))} \mid s, t \in (0, \varepsilon) \right\} < +\infty$$

then $\partial^+ c(x) \neq \partial^- c(x)$.

Also, let $\bar{c}$ be the curve $\bar{c}(t) = c(-t)$. Then $\partial^+ \bar{c}(x) = \partial^- c(x)$. Finally, let us use a bi-Lipschitz reparametrization of the curve c , so that we get another bi-Lipschitz curve, c' , such that $c'(0) = x$. Then $\partial^+ c'(x) = \partial^+ c(x)$.

We leave to the reader to prove these, if necessary.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\mu \in (0, 1)$. The set $c([0, \varepsilon])$ is compact. Therefore $y \in V^+(x, c, \varepsilon, \mu)$ if and only if there is $\lambda \in [0, \varepsilon]$ such that

$$d(y, c(\lambda)) < \mu d(x, y) .$$

From the triangle inequality we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{1+\mu} d(x, c(\lambda)) < d(x, y) < \frac{1}{1-\mu} d(x, c(\lambda)) . \quad (2.4.1)$$

As a consequence we have the following theorem, with a straightforward proof based on unwinding the definitions.

Theorem 2.15 *For any $x \in X$ define:*

$$\partial x = \{ \partial^+ c(x) \mid c \text{ bi-Lip. and } c(0) = x \} .$$

If (X, d) is of first type then ∂ is a refinement of the topological space X . Moreover, any bi-Lipschitz function $f : X \rightarrow Y$, where X, Y are of first type, then f is topologically derivable everywhere.

We shall construct now an uniform refinement. We need more assumptions on the space (X, d) . We shall say that the space (X, d) is of second kind (or Lip_2) if there exists a one parameter flow:

$$\mathcal{F} : [a, b] \times X \rightarrow X$$

such that:

- (a) for all $x \in X$ we have $\mathcal{F}(0, x) = x$,
- (b) the family of functions $\{\mathcal{F}(\cdot, x) \mid x \in X\}$ is equicontinuous with respect to x ,

- (c) there is a positive function $M : [a, b] \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$, continuous in 0, such that for any $t \in [a, b]$ we have:

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup \left\{ d\left(\frac{\mathcal{F}(t, x), \mathcal{F}(t, y)}{d(x, y)} \mid d(x, y) < \varepsilon \right\} \leq M(t) ,$$

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \inf \left\{ d\left(\frac{\mathcal{F}(t, x), \mathcal{F}(t, y)}{d(x, y)} \mid d(x, y) < \varepsilon \right\} \geq \frac{1}{M(t)} ,$$

- (d) $\mathcal{F}$ is a local group: whenever $s, t, s + t \in [a, b]$ we have

$$\mathcal{F}(s + t, x) = \mathcal{F}(s, \mathcal{F}(t, x))$$

for any $x \in X$,

- (e) A supplementary condition to be introduced soon, after some notations.

A sufficient condition for (X, d) to be Lip_2 is to admit non-trivial bi-Lipschitz flows, with the trajectories not too wild. Remark that the trajectories of a bi-Lipschitz flow have no reason to be Lipschitz curves. In fact this is generically not true outside the realm of riemannian spaces.

To any flow $\mathcal{F}$ we associate a filter $\partial^+ \mathcal{F}$, in $X \times X$. This filter is generated by the open sets:

$$V^+(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon, \mu) = \{(x, y) \in X^2 \mid d(y, \mathcal{F}([0, \varepsilon], x) < \mu d(x, y)\} ,$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\mu \in (0, 1)$. We can also define the filter $\partial^- \mathcal{F}$, generated by all open sets

$$V^-(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon, \mu) = \{(x, y) \in X^2 \mid d(y, \mathcal{F}([-\varepsilon, 0], x) < \mu d(x, y)\} ,$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\mu \in (0, 1)$.

As previously, if we define $\bar{\mathcal{F}}(s, x) = \mathcal{F}(-s, x)$ then we have $\partial^+ \bar{\mathcal{F}} = \partial^- \mathcal{F}$.

The supplementary condition (e) can now be formulated:

- (e) There is a constant $C > 0$ such that for any sufficiently small $\varepsilon', \varepsilon'' > 0$, $\mu', \mu'' \in (0, 1)$ and $x, y, z \in X$,

$$(y, z) \in V^+(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon', \mu') \text{ and } (y, x) \in V^+(\bar{\mathcal{F}}, \varepsilon'', \mu'')$$

implies that $d(x, y) + d(y, z) \leq C d(x, z)$.

Denote by $Lipflow(X, d)$ the collection of flows $\mathcal{F}$ which satisfy conditions (a), ..., (e). We have the theorem:

Theorem 2.16 (a) Let (X, d) be Lip_2 . Then

$$\partial X^2 = \{\partial^+ \mathcal{F} \mid \mathcal{F} \in Lipflow(X, d)\}$$

is an uniform refinement.

(b) Moreover, let (X, d) and (X', d') be metric spaces of second kind and $f : X \rightarrow X'$ a surjective bi-Lipschitz function. Then f is uniformly topologically derivable with respect to the uniform refinements constructed at point (a).

Proof. We have to prove that ∂X^2 is a uniform refinement.

Step 1. We start by showing that $\partial^+ \mathcal{F} \geq \circ(\Delta(X))$. For this we have to prove the following: for any $\varepsilon' > 0$ there are $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\mu \in (0, 1)$ such that:

$$V^+(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon, \mu) \subset B(\varepsilon') ,$$

where we use the notation:

$$B(\varepsilon) = \{(x, y) \mid d(x, y) < \varepsilon\} .$$

We shall use an inequality similar to (2.4.1). Choose $\mu \in (0, 1)$ arbitrary. We have still to choose $\varepsilon > 0$. For this let $(x, y) \in V^+(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon, \mu)$. This is equivalent to the existence of $\lambda \in [0, \varepsilon]$ such that:

$$d(y, \mathcal{F}(\lambda, x)) < \mu d(x, y)$$

This implies, by the triangle inequality:

$$d(x, y) \leq d(x, \mathcal{F}(\lambda, x)) + d(y, \mathcal{F}(\lambda, x)) < d(x, \mathcal{F}(\lambda, x)) + \mu d(x, y)$$

therefore we have:

$$d(x, y) < \frac{1}{1 - \mu} d(x, \mathcal{F}(\lambda, x)) .$$

Now we use conditions (a), (b) on $\mathcal{F}$, to get: there is $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $0 < \lambda < \varepsilon_0$ we have:

$$d(x, \mathcal{F}(\lambda, x)) \leq (1 - \mu)\varepsilon' .$$

It is therefore sufficient to choose $\varepsilon = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2}$.

Step 2. We have the following lemma:

Lemma 2.17 *Given $\varepsilon' > 0$, $\mu' \in (0, 1)$, sufficiently small, there are $\varepsilon'' > 0$, $\mu'' \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$(x, y) \in V^+(\bar{\mathcal{F}}, \varepsilon'', \mu'') \text{ implies } (y, x) \in V^+(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon', \mu') .$$

We postpone the proof of the lemma. We shall use it twice, first time to see that an immediate consequence is that $\sigma * \partial^+ \mathcal{F} = \partial^+ \bar{\mathcal{F}}$.

Step 3. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\mu \in (0, 1)$. We want to prove that there are $\varepsilon' > 0$ and $\mu' \in (0, 1)$ such that:

$$V^+(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon', \mu') \circ V^+(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon', \mu') \subset V^+(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon, \mu) .$$

We start with ε', μ' arbitrary and we look for sufficient conditions so that the previous inclusion happens.

A pair (x, z) belongs to $V^+(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon', \mu') \circ V^+(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon', \mu')$ if and only if there are $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in [0, \varepsilon']$ and $y \in X$ such that

$$d(y, \mathcal{F}(\lambda_1, x)) < \mu' d(y, x) \quad \text{and} \quad d(z, \mathcal{F}(\lambda_2, y)) < \mu' d(z, y) .$$

Then we have, using conditions (a), (c), (d):

$$\begin{aligned}
d(z, \mathcal{F}([0, 2\varepsilon'], x)) &\leq d(z, \mathcal{F}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2, x)) = \\
&= d(z, \mathcal{F}(\lambda_2, \mathcal{F}(\lambda_1, x))) \leq d(z, \mathcal{F}(\lambda_2, y)) + d(\mathcal{F}(\lambda_2, y), \mathcal{F}(\lambda_2, \mathcal{F}(\lambda_1, x))) < \\
&< \mu' d(z, y) + 2M(\lambda_2)\mu' d(y, x) .
\end{aligned}$$

The lemma 2.17 and condition (e) tell us that there is $C > 0$ such that for sufficiently small $\varepsilon' > 0$ and $\mu' \in (0, 1)$ we have:

$$\mu' d(z, y) + 2M(\lambda_2)\mu' d(y, x) \leq \max \{ \mu', M(\lambda_2)\mu' \} C d(x, z).$$

Remark that $M(0) = 1$, therefore we can choose $\varepsilon' > 0$ and $\mu' \in (0, 1)$ so small that

- $2\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$,
- $\sup \{ M(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in [0, \varepsilon'] \} \leq 2$,
- $4\mu' C < \mu$.

This finishes the step 3 of the proof. We proved that X^2 is a uniform refinement.

Step4. We are left with the proof of the topologically uniform derivability. For this we define first an action of bi-Lipschitz maps on $Lipflow(X, d)$.

Lemma 2.18 *Let (X, d) , (X', d') be Lip_2 spaces and $f : X \rightarrow X'$ a surjective bi-Lipschitz map. The following function is then well defined:*

$$f* : Lipflow(X, d) \rightarrow Lipflow(X', d') , \quad f* \mathcal{F}(t, x) = f(\mathcal{F}(t, f^{-1}(x))) .$$

Moreover, if (X, d) is Lip_2 , (X', d') is locally compact length metric space and it exists a surjective bi-Lipschitz map $f : X \rightarrow X'$, then (X', d') is Lip_2 .

Next we describe how f acts on filters. Recall that for filters in X^2 we defined the action of $f : X \rightarrow Y$, uniformly continuous, as the action of $f^2 : X \times X \rightarrow Y \times Y$, $f^2(x, y) = (f(x), f(y))$.

Lemma 2.19 *Let (X, d) , (X', d') be Lip_2 spaces and $f : X \rightarrow X'$ bi-Lipschitz. Then for any $\mathcal{F} \in Lipflow(X, d)$ we have:*

$$f* \partial^+ \mathcal{F} = \partial^+ (f* \mathcal{F}) .$$

This lemma finishes the proof of the theorem. ■

We shall give now the proofs of the lemma 2.17. The proofs of lemmata 2.18 and 2.19 are almost straightforward, based on the unwinding of definitions.

Proof of Lemma 2.17. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\mu \in (0, 1)$. We are looking for $\varepsilon' > 0$ and $\mu' \in (0, 1)$ such that if $(x, y) \in V^+(\bar{\mathcal{F}}, \varepsilon', \mu')$ then $(y, x) \in V^+(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon, \mu)$.

Choose $4\mu' < \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \mu\right\}$. From condition (c) on the flow $\mathcal{F}$ it follows that for any t there is $\varepsilon(t) > 0$ such that for any $x, y \in X$, $d(x, y) < \varepsilon(t)$ implies

$$\frac{1}{2M(t)}d(\mathcal{F}(t, y), x) \leq d(y, \mathcal{F}(-t, x)) . \quad (2.4.2)$$

From conditions (a) and (c) we get that for $|t| \leq \lambda_0$ we have $M(t) \leq 2$. Let then $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon(\lambda_0)$. The equation (2.4.2) implies that for any $x, y \in X$, $d(x, y) < \varepsilon_1$, and for any $|t| \leq \lambda_0$ we have:

$$\frac{1}{4}d(\mathcal{F}(t, y), x) \leq d(y, \mathcal{F}(-t, x)) . \quad (2.4.3)$$

Let us choose $2\sigma \leq \varepsilon_1$. From condition (b) it follows that there exists $\varepsilon_\sigma > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon'' \in (0, \varepsilon_\sigma)$ and any $x \in X$ we have:

$$d(x, \mathcal{F}(-\varepsilon'', x)) \leq \sigma . \quad (2.4.4)$$

Choose finally $\varepsilon' \leq \min\{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_\sigma, \lambda_0\}$. Let us now take $(x, y) \in V^+(\bar{\mathcal{F}}, \varepsilon', \mu')$. This means that there is $\lambda' \in [0, \varepsilon']$ such that

$$d(y, \mathcal{F}(-\lambda', x)) < \mu'd(x, y) . \quad (2.4.5)$$

A consequence of inequalities (2.4.4), (2.4.5) and the choices of ε' , μ' is that

$$d(x, y) < \frac{1}{1 - \mu'}d(x, \mathcal{F}(-\lambda', x)) \leq \frac{\sigma}{1 - \mu'} \leq 2\sigma \leq \varepsilon_1 .$$

We can use then (2.4.3) in (2.4.5) to get:

$$\frac{1}{4}d(\mathcal{F}(\lambda, y), x) < \mu'd(x, y) .$$

Use finally that $4\mu' < \mu$ and $\lambda' \in [0, \varepsilon'] \subset [0, \varepsilon]$ and conclude: there exists $\lambda \in [0, \varepsilon]$ such that:

$$d(\mathcal{F}(\lambda, y), x) < \mu d(x, y) .$$

This means that $(y, x) \in V^+(\mathcal{F}, \varepsilon, \mu)$. ■

References

- [1] M. Buliga, The topological substratum of the derivative (I), Math. Reports (Stud. Cerc. Mat.) 45, 6,(1993), 453-465, scan available at <http://www.imar.ro/~mbuliga/>
- [2] M. Buliga, Dilatation structures I. Fundamentals, *J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl.*, Vol 1 (2007), No. 2, 65-95. <http://arxiv.org/abs/math.MG/0608536>

- [3] M. Buliga, Infinitesimal affine geometry of metric spaces endowed with a dilatation structure (2008), to appear in *Houston Journal of Math.*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/0804.0135>
- [4] M. Buliga, Emergent algebras as generalizations of differentiable algebras, with applications, (2009) submitted, <http://arxiv.org/abs/0907.1520v1>
- [5] M. Buliga, Deformations of normed groupoids and differential calculus. First part, (2009), <http://arxiv.org/abs/0911.1300>
- [6] J. Cheeger, B. Kleiner, Differentiating maps into L^1 and the geometry of BV functions, (2006), <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0611954>
- [7] P. Pansu, Métriques de Carnot-Carathéodory et quasiisométries des espaces symétriques de rang un, *Ann. of Math.*, **129** (1989), 1–60